

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/796,298

Applicant(s)

MITSUHASHI, MASATO

Examiner

FRANK W. LU

Art Unit

1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) FRANK W. LU.

(3) Mr. Jason Gersting.

(2) Mr. Daniel Altman (Reg. No. 34,115).

(4) Mr. Masato Mitsuhashi.

Date of Interview: 28 October 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 73, 75, 77-88, 91, 92, 215, 217-219, 231-233 and 240.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The inventor, applicant's representatives and the examiner discussed the claimed invention, the rejections, and possible amendments. Applicant will file a response to the final rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Frank W Lu /  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634

October 28, 2009